CS 112: Computer System Modeling Fundamentals

> Prof. Jenn Wortman Vaughan May 12, 2011 Lecture 13

### Reminders & Announcements

- Homework 4 has been posted on the website
- Midterms will be returned in section tomorrow

# Today

A "Naive Bayes" classifier for spam filtering (or, everything you need to know for homework 4)

# Hypothesis Testing

• The maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis is the hypothesis that makes the data most likely

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ML}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{H}_{i})$ 

• The maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis is the hypothesis with the maximum posterior probability

 $H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(H_{i} | D) = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(D | H_{i}) P(H_{i})$ 

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

• If  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are independent observations, then the ML estimate is  $\underline{n}$ 

$$\arg \max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i; \theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (P(X_i = x_i; \theta))$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} f_{X_1,\dots,X_n}(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n;\theta)$$

• If  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are independent observations, then the ML estimate is  $\underline{n}$ 

$$\arg \max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X_{i}}(x_{i};\theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(f_{X_{i}}(x_{i};\theta))$$

Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes  $X_1, ..., X_n$  of n independent tosses of the coin

What is the maximum likelihood estimate of *p*?

Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes  $X_1, ..., X_n$  of n independent tosses of the coin

What is the maximum likelihood estimate of *p*?



Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes  $X_1, ..., X_n$  of n independent tosses of the coin

What is the maximum likelihood estimate of *p*?

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \frac{\# \text{times we observed heads}}{n}$$

Suppose we would like to estimate the unknown parameters  $p_1, ..., p_k$  of a multinomial (e.g., rolls of a die) based on n independent observations

What is the maximum likelihood estimate of each  $p_i$ ?

Suppose we would like to estimate the unknown parameters  $p_1, ..., p_k$  of a multinomial (e.g., rolls of a die) based on n independent observations

What is the maximum likelihood estimate of each  $p_i$ ?

#times we observed outcome j

N

• Suppose that we would like to classify a new email message as either spam or not spam

- Suppose that we would like to classify a new email message as either spam or not spam
- We can represent the email message as a vector of features, e.g., presence or absence of the word "cash", or presence or absence of the recipient's name

- Suppose that we would like to classify a new email message as either spam or not spam
- We can represent the email message as a vector of features, e.g., presence or absence of the word "cash", or presence or absence of the recipient's name
- We can use previously labeled emails (also represented as feature vectors) to build a probabilistic model

- Suppose that we would like to classify a new email message as either spam or not spam
- We can represent the email message as a vector of features, e.g., presence or absence of the word "cash", or presence or absence of the recipient's name
- We can use previously labeled emails (also represented as feature vectors) to build a probabilistic model
- Using this model, we can calculate a MAP (or ML, if we want) hypothesis to classify the new email

• We have two hypotheses,  $H_1$  (spam) and  $H_0$  (not spam)

- We have two hypotheses,  $H_1$  (spam) and  $H_0$  (not spam)
- We have *d* pieces of data (features) about the new email,

$$F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \dots, F_d = f_d$$

- We have two hypotheses,  $H_1$  (spam) and  $H_0$  (not spam)
- We have d pieces of data (features) about the new email,  $F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \dots, F_d = f_d$
- The MAP hypothesis is the one that maximizes  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i) P(H_i)$

- We have two hypotheses,  $H_1$  (spam) and  $H_0$  (not spam)
- We have d pieces of data (features) about the new email,  $F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, ..., F_d = f_d$
- The MAP hypothesis is the one that maximizes  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i) P(H_i)$

Our goal: Use the labeled emails to estimate this value for each hypothesis  $H_i$  so that we can find the MAP hypothesis

How can we estimate  $P(H_i)$  from data?

How can we estimate  $P(H_i)$  from data?

• For each previously labeled email k, let

$$X_{k} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if email is spam} \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

How can we estimate  $P(H_i)$  from data?

• For each previously labeled email k, let

$$X_{k} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if email is spam} \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• If our emails are i.i.d., these are Bernoulli random variables with unknown parameter  $P(H_i)$  – can estimate this unknown parameter using maximum likelihood

How can we estimate  $P(H_i)$  from data?

• For each previously labeled email k, let

$$X_{k} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if email is spam} \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• If our emails are i.i.d., these are Bernoulli random variables with unknown parameter  $P(H_i)$  – can estimate this unknown parameter using maximum likelihood

$$P(H_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$$

How can we estimate  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i)$  from data?

How can we estimate  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i)$  from data?

• We could treat this as a multinomial and use maximum likelihood here too

How can we estimate  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i)$  from data?

• We could treat this as a multinomial and use maximum likelihood here too... Why is this a bad idea?

How can we estimate  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i)$  from data?

- We could treat this as a multinomial and use maximum likelihood here too... Why is this a bad idea?
- Instead, we make the Naive Bayes assumption that all feature values are conditionally independent given H<sub>i</sub>

How can we estimate  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i)$  from data?

- We could treat this as a multinomial and use maximum likelihood here too... Why is this a bad idea?
- Instead, we make the Naive Bayes assumption that all feature values are conditionally independent given H<sub>i</sub>

$$P(F_1 = f_1, \dots, F_d = f_d | H_i) = \prod_{j=1}^d P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$$

How can we estimate  $P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i)$  from data?

- We could treat this as a multinomial and use maximum likelihood here too... Why is this a bad idea?
- Instead, we make the Naive Bayes assumption that all feature values are conditionally independent given H<sub>i</sub>

$$P(F_1 = f_1, \dots, F_d = f_d | H_i) = \prod_{j=1}^d P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$$

• For each *i*, have to estimate *d* parameters instead of  $2^{d}$ -1

How can we estimate  $P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$  from data?

How can we estimate  $P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$  from data?

• We can use maximum likelihood again

How can we estimate  $P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$  from data?

• We can use maximum likelihood again

$$P(F_j = f_j) = \frac{\# \text{ examples with feature } j = f_j}{\# \text{ examples}}$$

How can we estimate  $P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$  from data?

• We can use maximum likelihood again

 $P(F_j = f_j | H_i) = \frac{\#\text{examples w/ feature } j = f_j \text{ and label } = H_i}{\#\text{examples w/ label} = H_i}$ 

How can we estimate  $P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$  from data?

• We can use maximum likelihood again

$$P(F_j = f_j | H_i) = \frac{\# \text{examples w/ feature } j = f_j \text{ and label } = H_i}{\# \text{examples w/ label} = H_i}$$

Problem: What happens if we don't observe an example with a particular feature value and label together??

How can we estimate  $P(F_j = f_j | H_i)$  from data?

• We can use maximum likelihood with smoothing

 $P(F_j = f_j | H_i) = \frac{(\text{#examples w/ feature } j = f_j \text{ and label } = H_i) + 1}{(\text{#examples w/ label} = H_i) + 2}$ 

$$P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i) P(H_i)$$

• For each *i*, calculate

$$P(F_1 = f_1, ..., F_d = f_d | H_i) P(H_i)$$

Naive Bayes Independence Assumption

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} P(F_j = f_j \mid H_i) P(H_i)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d} P(F_j = f_j | H_i) \underbrace{P(H_i)}_{\text{ML estimate}}$$



• For each *i*, calculate



• The MAP hypothesis is the one that maximizes this

# Example: Classifying Email

"Jenn"	"cash"	"viagra"	spam
1	0	0	0
1	1	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
1	0	0	???

# Example: Classifying Email

• What if we wanted to estimate the probability that this email is spam?