CS 112: Computer System Modeling Fundamentals

> Prof. Jenn Wortman Vaughan May 10, 2011 Lecture 12

Quiz #3

Reminders & Announcements

 Homework 4 will be a programming assignment – we will cover the algorithm that you need to implement in class this Thursday

Types of Inference

Hypothesis testing: Decide which of two or more hypotheses is more likely to true based on some data.

- Determine whether an email containing a particular set of words is more likely to be spam or not spam
- Given a student's test score, decide if he studied or not

Types of Inference

Hypothesis testing: Decide which of two or more hypotheses is more likely to true based on some data.

- Determine whether an email containing a particular set of words is more likely to be spam or not spam
- Given a student's test score, decide if he studied or not

Parameter estimation: Model is fully specified except some unknown parameters we need to estimate.

- Estimate the bias of a coin from a sequence of flips
- Estimate the fraction of the population who prefers candidate A to candidate B based on polling data

Hypothesis Testing

Let D be the event that we observed some particular data

• D = event that I observed an email containing the words "ca\$h" and "viagra"

Hypothesis Testing

Let D be the event that we observed some particular data

• D = event that I observed an email containing the words "ca\$h" and "viagra"

Let $H_1, ..., H_k$ be disjoint and exhaustive events representing hypotheses we are choosing among

- H_1 = event that the email is spam
- H_2 = event that the email is not spam

Hypothesis Testing

Let D be the event that we observed some particular data

• D = event that I observed an email containing the words "ca\$h" and "viagra"

Let $H_1, ..., H_k$ be disjoint and exhaustive events representing hypotheses we are choosing among

- H_1 = event that the email is spam
- H_2 = event that the email is not spam

What is the most likely hypothesis given the data?

• The maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis is the hypothesis that makes the data most likely

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ML}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{H}_{i})$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis is the hypothesis that makes the data most likely

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ML}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{H}_{i})$

• The maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis is the hypothesis with the maximum posterior probability

 $H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(H_{i} | D) = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(D | H_{i}) P(H_{i})$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis is the hypothesis that makes the data most likely

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ML}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{H}_{i})$$

• The maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis is the hypothesis with the maximum posterior probability

 $H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(H_i | D) = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(D | H_i) P(H_i)$

Both require an estimate of $P(D | H_i)$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis is the hypothesis that makes the data most likely

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ML}} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D} \mid \mathbf{H}_{i})$

• The maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis is the hypothesis with the maximum posterior probability

 $H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(H_{i} | D) = \operatorname{argmax}_{i} P(D | H_{i}) P(H_{i})$ MAP additionally requires $P(H_{i})$ (can be a *subjective probability*)

Maximum Likelihood

There are two boxes of cookies. One contains half chocolate chip cookies and half oatmeal raison cookies. The other contains one third chocolate chip cookies and two thirds oatmeal raison. I select a box and pull a random cookie from it. You observe that the cookie is chocolate chip.

Which box is most likely to be the one I chose from?

Maximum Likelihood

There are two boxes of cookies. One contains half chocolate chip cookies and half oatmeal raison cookies. The other contains one third chocolate chip cookies and two thirds oatmeal raison. I select a box and pull a random cookie from it. You observe that the cookie is chocolate chip.

Which box is most likely to be the one I chose from?

- D = event that I chose a chocolate chip cookie
- $P(D | H_1) = 0.5, P(D | H_2) = 0.33$
- $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{ML}} = \mathbf{H}_1$

MAP

There are two boxes of cookies. One contains half chocolate chip cookies and half oatmeal raison cookies. The other contains one third chocolate chip cookies and two thirds oatmeal raison. I select a box and pull a random cookie from it. You observe that the cookie is chocolate chip.

If you know that box 2 is on the table and box 1 is put away, which box is most likely to be the one I chose from?

MAP

There are two boxes of cookies. One contains half chocolate chip cookies and half oatmeal raison cookies. The other contains one third chocolate chip cookies and two thirds oatmeal raison. I select a box and pull a random cookie from it. You observe that the cookie is chocolate chip.

If you know that box 2 is on the table and box 1 is put away, which box is most likely to be the one I chose from?

- $P(H_1) = 0.1$, $P(H_2) = 0.9$ (for example..)
- $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{MAP}} = \mathbf{H}_2$

Next Couple Weeks...

- Classical statistical inference
 - Parameter estimation with maximum likelihood
 - Bias, confidence bounds, other desirable properties
- Bayesian statistical inference
 - Using priors and posteriors
 - MAP estimation
- Example application: Naive Bayes classifier (which you will implement for homework 4!)

Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes $X_1, ..., X_n$ of n independent tosses of the coin

Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes $X_1, ..., X_n$ of n independent tosses of the coin

(This is just like our polling question...)

Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes $X_1, ..., X_n$ of n independent tosses of the coin

(This is just like our polling question...)

We can define analogs of both ML and MAP here

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

"parameterized by θ "

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i; \theta)$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i; \theta)$$
 "likelihood"

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i; \theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (P(X_i = x_i; \theta))$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i; \theta)$$

=
$$\arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log (P(X_i = x_i; \theta)) \quad \text{``log}_{\text{likelihood''}}$$

Suppose that we would like to estimate the unknown bias p of a coin based on observations of the outcomes $X_1, ..., X_n$ of n independent tosses of the coin

What is the maximum likelihood estimate?

Suppose that the time it takes for a certain model of hard drive to fail is an exponential random variable

We would like to estimate the unknown parameter λ based on *n* independent observations X₁, ..., X_n

What is the maximum likelihood estimate?

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i = x_i; \theta)$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta)$$

• The maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is the parameter value that makes the data most likely

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta)$$
$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta))$$

Suppose that the time it takes for a certain model of hard drive to fail is an exponential random variable

We would like to estimate the unknown parameter λ based on *n* independent observations X₁, ..., X_n

What is the maximum likelihood estimate?

(we didn't get to work through the answer in class before time ran out, but we will come back to this...)

Maximum Likelihood is Consistent

Consistency: If θ is the true value of the parameter and θ_n is the maximum likelihood estimate after *n* observations, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\theta_n - \theta| \ge \varepsilon) = 0$$

Maximum Likelihood is Consistent

Consistency: If θ is the true value of the parameter and θ_n is the maximum likelihood estimate after *n* observations, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\theta_n - \theta| \ge \varepsilon) = 0$$

Translation: As the number of observations gets large, the maximum likelihood estimate gets closer and closer to the true parameter value – clearly desirable for an estimate.